

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,942	10/086,942 03/01/2002		Cem Basceri	MI22-1951	3748	
21567	7590	02/13/2004		EXAMINER		
WELLS ST		P.S. UE, SUITE 1300	HUYNH, YENNHU B			
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2813	2813	
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/086,942	BASCERI, CEM				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Yennhu B Huynh	2813				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh et with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication, O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2003.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 43-47,49-51,53,54 and 58-65 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 43-47,49-51,53,54 and 58-65 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03 & 9/2/03.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/086,942

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 12/29/03.

Claims 1-42, 48,52 & 55-57 are cancelled by Amendment filled on 2/3/03.

Currently, claims 43-47, 49-51, 53, 54 and 58-65 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/29/03 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 12/29/03 is being considered by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

Oath/Declaration filed on 03/01/02 is accepted.

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Capacitor Construction Comprising Peroskite-Type Dielectric Materials Having Different Degree of Crystalline Region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 43, 45-47, 50, 51, 53, 54 & 58-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being Jung (U.S. 6,337,496B2).

Jung discloses a ferroelectrics capacitor, which include:

-Re. Claim: 43, 45-47, 50, 51, 53, 54 & 58-65: first capacitor electrode 110; a perovskite type dielectric material comprising a first layer 112 proximate the first electrode; where in the top portion of the first layer 112 having poor crystalline, large quantity of lattice defects and lacks a crystal structure/or being in amorphous state than the second layer 113; the second layer 113 again the first layer and further from the first electrode than the first layer, the second layer having a different amount of crystalline than the top portion of the first layer 112, by having a higher degree Titanium content

Art Unit: 2813

than the lower ferroelectrics 112 (col.5 lines 1-27), wherein the perovskite type material also consists of/ or comprising barium, strontium, titanium and oxygen (col.9 lines 52-54); wherein the perovskite type material has the same/or different chemical composition of PZT/or PLZT through out both the first and second layer (col.9 lines 52-54); a second capacitor electrode 114 over the perovskite type dielectric material (col. 5 lines 48-50); wherein the first and second capacitor electrode 110 and 114 comprises a metal and platinum material (col. 4 lines 50-57 and col.5 lines 48-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 44 & 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung (U.S. 6,337,496B2) in view of Eastep (U.S. 6,090,443).

Jung discloses substantially all of claimed features, but do not disclose wherein the first and second layer comprises of from 10-50 Angstroms and 50-500Angstroms in thickness (cl.44).

-Re. claims 44 & 49: Eastep in related text discloses a multi layer ferroelectrics thin film of capacitor, which include the first and second layer ferroelectrics have in range thickness from 50-500 Angstroms (col.2, lines 35-45).

Art Unit: 2813

The thickness of the dielectric layers are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art, As noted In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955), the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious.

"Normally, it is to expected that a change in temperature, or in range, concentration, cycles, thickness, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstance, however, changes such as these may be impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art ... such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality ... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yennhu B Huynh whose telephone number is 703-308-6110 (and the new telephone number 571-272-1692 will be effected from 2/5/04). The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30AM-7.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,942

Art Unit: 2813

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-7724.

Yennhu B.Huynh, W

Examiner,

020404